

Ecological significance of mycotrophy in some tropical weeds

ANUPRIYA CHAWLA², YASH PAL SINGH^{1*}, PADMA SINGH², SHALINI RAWAT¹,
SOLOMON DAS¹ & NAGENDRA SINGH¹

¹Forest Pathology Division, Forest Research Institute, Dehradun 248006,
Uttarakhand, India

²Kanya Gurukul Mahavidyalaya, Gurukul Kangri University, Haridwar 249401,
Uttarakhand, India

Abstract: Weeds, which are considered to be unwanted plants, can play an important role in maintaining mycorrhizal inoculum during winter. To study this role, a survey was conducted on the mycorrhizal status of common weeds (*Ageratum conyzoides*, *Capsella bursa-pastoris*, *Lantana camara*, *Malvastrum coromandelianum*, *Medicago hispida*, *Parthenium hysterophorus*, *Reinwardtia indica*, *Solanum nigrum*, *Stellaria media* and *Vicia sativa*) at five locations in the campus of the Forest Research Institute, Dehradun, India. The highest root colonization was observed in *R. indica* (79.6 %) and the lowest root colonization (56.1 %) was quantified in roots of *S. nigrum*. Maximum mycorrhizal spore population in soil was found in *M. coromandelianum* (528.5/50 ml), while it remained minimum with *S. nigrum* (240.9). *C. bursa-pastoris*, in the family *Brassicaceae* and thought to be non-mycorrhizal, was found to be mycorrhizal. Weeds seem to support mycorrhizal communities during winter (off-season) that may serve as reservoir of inoculum of natural endophytes for other hosts such as trees during spring-summer (on-season) in tropical north India.

Resumen: Las malezas, consideradas como plantas no deseadas, pueden jugar un papel importante en el mantenimiento del inóculo micorrízico durante el invierno. Para estudiar este papel, se llevó a cabo un muestreo del estado micorrízico de malezas comunes (*Ageratum conyzoides*, *Capsella bursa-pastoris*, *Lantana camara*, *Malvastrum coromandelianum*, *Medicago hispida*, *Parthenium hysterophorus*, *Reinwardtia indica*, *Solanum nigrum*, *Stellaria media* y *Vicia sativa*) en cinco localidades en el campus del Instituto de Investigación Forestal, Dehradun, India. La mayor colonización de raíces fue observada en *R. indica* (79.6 %) y la colonización más baja (56.1 %) fue cuantificada en raíces de *S. nigrum*. La máxima población de esporas micorrízicas en el suelo fue hallada en *M. coromandelianum* (528.5/50 ml), mientras que tuvo su mínimo en *S. nigrum* (240.9). Se encontró que *C. bursa-pastoris*, perteneciente a la familia *Brassicaceae* y de la que pensaba que no era micorrízica, sí lo es. Las malezas parecen soportar comunidades micorrízicas durante el invierno (fuera de temporada) que puede servir como reservorio del inóculo de endofitos naturales para otros hospederos tales como árboles durante la primavera-verano (en temporada) en el norte tropical de la India.

Resumo: Infestantes, que são consideradas plantas indesejadas, podem jogar um papel importante na manutenção do inóculo micorrízico durante o inverno. Para estudar esse papel, conduziu-se um inventário sobre o status micorrízico de infestantes comuns (*Ageratum conyzoides*, *Capsella bursa-pastoris*, *Lantana camara*, *Malvastrum coromandelianum*, *Medicago hispida*, *Parthenium hysterophorus*, *Reinwardtia indica*, *Solanum nigrum*, *Stellaria media* e *Vicia sativa*) em cinco localizações no campus do Instituto de Investigação Florestal de Dehradun, Índia. A mais elevada colonização de raízes foi observada em *R. indica* (79.6 %), e a

* Corresponding Author; e-mail: singh_yp@rediffmail.com

menor (56,1 %), foi quantificada nas raízes de *S. nigrum*. A máxima população de esporos micorrízicos foi encontrada em *M. coromandelianum* (528.5/50ml), enquanto permaneceu mínima com a *S. nigrum* (240.9). A *C. bursa-pastoris*, da família *Brassicaceae*, e que se pensava não ser micorrízica, revelou-o ser. As infestantes parecem suportar as comunidades micorrízicas durante o inverno (fora da estação), podendo assim servir de reservatórios de inócuo de endofíticas naturais para outros hospedeiros como sejam árvores durante a primavera-verão (durante a estação) no norte tropical da Índia.

Key words: Arbuscular mycorrhiza, field spore population, phosphorus, weeds.

Introduction

The term “weed” is been defined variously as a plant out of place, an unwanted plant, or a plant that is a pest in that it interferes with crop or livestock production. In a broad sense, weeds are plants which over dominate others by abundance, growth and coverage, thus disturbing the balance of ecosystems (Wittenberg & Cock 2001). Due to their inherent efficiency in nutrient uptake and use, weeds easily invade disturbed lands, adversely affecting ecosystems. Weeds, thus, can pose a serious challenge to sustainable management of forests, plantations, agricultural ecosystems and conservation of biodiversity.

In India, a wide spectrum of exotic plants has been introduced for agricultural, ornamental and other purposes, dating back to the earliest visits of Arab, Roman and Greek traders to the country (Gupta & Lamba 1978). According to Saxena (1991), 40 % of the plant species recorded in India are alien. Some of the most important alien weed species found in India include *Chromolaena odorata*, *Lantana camara*, *Mikania micrantha*, *Parthenium hysterophorus*, *Ageratum conyzoides*, *Ageratina adenophora*, *Eichhornia crassipes* and *Salvinia molesta*. In the Doon valley of Uttarakhand State, some weeds, namely, *L. camara*, *P. hysterophorus*, and *A. conyzoides*, have expanded at alarming rate in agricultural and abandoned fields, forests, railway tracts and road sides, becoming dangerous invaders of grasses and other herbaceous native flora (Negi & Hajra 2007; Sreenivasan 2003).

Arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi are common symbionts in terrestrial ecosystems, associating with about 80 % of plant families worldwide. They are recognized as an important, widespread component of most terrestrial eco-

systems, benefiting plant establishment by enhancing plant nutrient acquisition, improving soil quality, increasing resistance to environmental stresses (Smith & Read 1997) and playing an important role in plant biodiversity, ecosystem variability and productivity. A substantial amount of information is available on mycorrhizal associations of obligate and facultative weeds. Bloss (1979) isolated *Glomus fasciculates* from soil and roots of the indigenous desert plants, *Parthenium incanum* (mariola). A survey of endogonaceous spores associated with xerophytic plants in Northern India was conducted by Singh & Verma (1981). Spores production by these endophytes was related to seasonal growth of their host. Mycorrhizal formation in weeds growing in the vicinity of crop plants was only promoted by crops strongly dependent on mycotrophic nutrition; the infection intensity of these crops themselves also increased. With facultatively mycotrophic crops, mycorrhizal infection can be negatively affected by weed species (Stejskalova 1990).

The distribution and diversity of AM fungi in different weed species of a particular agro-ecological zone are important to know in order to evaluate the natural status of AM fungi in that region and to understand their seasonal variations. It is now widely accepted that climatic and edaphic factors can influence directly or indirectly AM fungi spore numbers and their populations, which affects their association with hosts (Abbott & Robson 1991). The present study focuses on the role of common weeds of Doon valley in maintaining the mycorrhizal populations (based on their mycotrophy) in the ecosystem during the off-season (winter-December to February), that may, in turn, act as reservoir of fungal inoculum for companion host plants after growing season (spring to monsoon-April to July) sets in.

Table 1. Physico-chemical properties of soils.

| Site | Soil property | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|----------|---------------|-----------------|-----|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Clay (%) | Silt (%) | Fine sand (%) | Coarse sand (%) | pH | Organic carbon (g kg ⁻¹) | Nitrogen (g kg ⁻¹) | Phosphorus (g kg ⁻¹) | Potassium (g kg ⁻¹) |
| Howard Road | 16 | 18 | 18 | 38.5 | 7.3 | 14.1 | 1.5 | 0.016 | 0.120 |
| Tireman Road | 16 | 18 | 18 | 38.0 | 7.5 | 17.0 | 1.5 | 0.017 | 0.096 |
| Pearson Road I | 21 | 23 | 23 | 15.0 | 6.3 | 17.2 | 1.6 | 0.017 | 0.130 |
| Pearson Road II | 19 | 19 | 19 | 24.0 | 7.0 | 8.4 | 1.0 | 0.014 | 0.096 |
| Travor Road | 16 | 22 | 22 | 27.5 | 7.3 | 20.5 | 2.2 | 0.013 | 0.090 |

Materials and methods

A survey was conducted in February 2001 (winter) of the mycorrhizal status of commonly occurring weeds (*Ageratum conyzoides* Linn., *Capsella bursa-pastoris* Linn., *Lantana camara* Linn., *Malvastrum coromandelianum* Garcke., *Medicago hispida* Gaertn., *Parthenium hysterophorus* Linn., *Reinwardtia indica* Dum., *Solanum nigrum* Linn., *Stellaria media* Linn. and *Vicia sativa* Linn.) at the Forest Research Institute in Dehradun, Uttarakhand State of India. The Institute is situated at latitude 30° 20'15" N and longitude 77° 57'40" E. Dehradun receives annual rainfall of about 1,400 mm, most of which is concentrated during the monsoon months (July - September). The average temperature lies between 10.2 - 24.3 °C during winter.

Five sampling sites were selected in the campus namely, (1) Howard Road, (2) Tireman Road, (3) Pearson Road (near library, I), (4) Pearson Road (paper plant, II) and (5) Trevor Road. Ten replicates of each weed were sampled. Rhizosphere soil was collected from a depth of 2 - 15 cm after scrapping away the top 1 - 2 cm. Soil samples were air-dried and stored at 4 °C for further analysis. Soil texture was determined by the hydrometer method using the USDA textural classification chart. Soil pH was determined as described by Schofield & Taylor (1955) and soil organic C quantified according to Walkley & Black (1934). The total nitrogen content of soil samples was determined by the Kjeldahl method (Bremner 1965). Available P was determined by Bray's-I (Bray & Krutz 1945) and Bray's-II method (Olsen *et al.* 1954). Available K was quantified by ammonium acetate extraction followed by flame photometric determination. Soil properties are listed in Table 1.

Spore populations of mycorrhizal fungi was determined using the wet sieving and decanting technique of Gerdemann & Nicholson (1963) and the sugar density gradient centrifugation method of Daniel & Skipper (1982). Spores were counted using Doncaster's counting disc. Roots were stained using acid fuschin stain (Phillips & Hayman 1970). Quantification of mycorrhizal infection was measured by the grid line-intersect method (Giovannetti & Mosse 1980).

A one-way analysis of variance was performed for leaf phosphorus, and two-way analysis of variance was used for root colonization and soil spore population. For root infection, percent values were transformed into angular values before statistical analysis. The significance of differences between treatments means was tested at the 5 % level.

Results

Ten weeds (representing 8 families) were examined for their mycorrhizal status and types. Except for *L. camara* and *R. indica*, which are shrub/under-shrub, all other weeds are herbs. Most of them are annual, except for *C. bursa-pastoris* (perennial) and *M. coromandelianum* and *V. sativa* (biennial). With the exception of *S. media*, all weeds had one or the other mycorrhizal structures (Table 2). The mycorrhizal associations are characterized by presence of arbuscules only. Excluding *V. sativa*, all weeds had arbuscules in their root cortex and vesicles were absent in *C. bursa-pastoris* and *R. indica*. Extramatrical hyphae was absent in *V. sativa*.

The phosphorus content of leaves was maximum and significantly higher in *M. coromandelianum* (0.640 %), followed by *C. bursa-pastoris* (0.400 %). The lowest leaf phosphorus content was quantified in *L. camara* (0.256 %). Five weed

Table 2. Life history attributes, incidence and infection types, tentative root categorization and phosphorus content of leaves of weed plants of the Forest Research Institute, Dehradun.

| Host | Family | Life form | Life cycle | Infection type | | | Tentative root category | Leaf phosphorus (%) |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|----------------|----|----|-------------------------|---------------------|
| | | | | AC | VC | EH | | |
| <i>Ageratum conyzoides</i> | Asteraceae | Herb | Annual | + | + | + | Coarse | 0.267 |
| <i>Capsella bursa-pastoris</i> | Brassicaceae | Herb | Annual/ Perennial | + | - | + | Fibrous | 0.400 |
| <i>Lantana camara</i> | Verbenaceae | Shrub | Perennial | + | + | + | Coarse | 0.256 |
| <i>Malvastrum coromandelianum</i> | Malvaceae | Herb | Biennial | + | + | + | Coarse | 0.640 |
| <i>Medicago hispida</i> | Papilionaceae | Herb | Annual | + | + | + | Coarse | 0.346 |
| <i>Parthenium hysterophorus</i> | Asteraceae | Herb | Annual | + | + | + | Coarse | 0.377 |
| <i>Reinwardtia indica</i> | Linaceae | Under shrub | Annual | + | - | + | Fibrous | 0.307 |
| <i>Solanum nigrum</i> | Solanaceae | Herb | Annual | + | + | + | Fibrous | 0.320 |
| <i>Stellaria media</i> | Caryophyllaceae | Herb | Annual | - | - | - | Fibrous | 0.365 |
| <i>Vicia sativa</i> | Papilionaceae | Herb | Annual/ Biennial | - | + | - | Coarse | 0.377 |
| | | | | | | | CD (0.05 %) | 0.061 |

AC: Arbuscules, VC: Vesicle, EH: Extramatrical hyphae.

Table 3. Endomycorrhizal root infection (%) of various weed hosts at different sites at Forest Research Institute, Dehradun.

| Host | Howard Road | Tireman Road | Pearson Road I | Pearson Road II | Trevor Road | Mean |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| <i>Ageratum conyzoides</i> | 63.4 (53.1)* | 71.5 (57.8) | 77.0 (61.5) | 79.8 (63.3) | 71.6 (57.8) | 72.7 (58.7) |
| <i>Capsella bursa-pastoris</i> | 49.8 (44.8) | 75.0 (60.1) | 76.1 (60.9) | 76.0 (60.7) | 74.8 (59.9) | 70.3 (57.3) |
| <i>Lantana camara</i> | 63.8 (54.6) | 77.6 (62.9) | 76.1 (62.2) | 50.8 (45.4) | 71.6 (58.0) | 68.0 (56.6) |
| <i>Malvastrum coromandelianum</i> | 88.0 (69.7) | 75.6 (60.5) | 73.7 (59.1) | 68.1 (55.9) | 72.9 (58.9) | 75.7 (60.8) |
| <i>Medicago hispida</i> | 83.7 (66.1) | 77.4 (61.7) | 73.5 (59.3) | 72.3 (58.4) | 73.8 (58.4) | 76.1 (60.9) |
| <i>Parthenium hysterophorus</i> | 72.9 (58.8) | 76.1 (61.3) | 81.9 (64.8) | 85.6 (67.8) | 73.8 (59.2) | 78.0 (62.4) |
| <i>Reinwardtia indica</i> | 83.1 (65.7) | 76.4 (55.5) | 88.0 (69.7) | 78.3 (62.4) | 81.3 (64.3) | 79.6 (63.5) |
| <i>Solanum nigrum</i> | 35.7 (28.8) | 71.3 (57.6) | 75.4 (60.2) | 47.9 (43.7) | 50.4 (45.2) | 56.1 (47.1) |
| <i>Stellaria media</i> | 00.0 (00.0) | 00.0 (00.0) | 00.0 (00.0) | 00.0 (00.0) | 00.0 (00.0) | 00.0 (00.0) |
| <i>Vicia sativa</i> | 72.3 (58.3) | 86.5 (68.7) | 78.2 (62.8) | 71.8 (58.3) | 76.1 (60.3) | 77.0 (61.7) |
| Mean | 61.3 (50.00) | 67.8 (54.6) | 70.0 (56.1) | 63.1 (51.6) | 64.6 (52.4) | |
| CD (0.05 %) | Host (H)7.9 | | Site (S) 5.6 | | Interaction (H x S)17.6 | |

*Figures in parentheses are original values.

Table 4. Endomycorrhizal spore population (no./50 ml soil) of various weed hosts at different sites at Forest Research Institute, Dehradun.

| Host | Howard Road | Tireman Road | Pearson Road I | Pearson Road II | Trevor Road | Mean |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------|
| <i>Ageratum conyzoides</i> | 562.5 | 366.7 | 400.0 | 525.0 | 662.5 | 503.3 |
| <i>Capsella bursa-pastoris</i> | 238.0 | 404.2 | 366.7 | 195.8 | 283.3 | 297.6 |
| <i>Lantana camara</i> | 233.3 | 195.8 | 279.2 | 295.9 | 329.2 | 266.7 |
| <i>Malvastrum coromandelianum</i> | 808.4 | 545.9 | 508.4 | 200.0 | 579.9 | 528.5 |
| <i>Medicago hispida</i> | 354.2 | 329.2 | 379.2 | 416.7 | 278.8 | 351.6 |
| <i>Parthenium hysterophorus</i> | 245.8 | 325.0 | 550.0 | 233.4 | 325.2 | 335.9 |
| <i>Reinwardtia indica</i> | 337.5 | 245.9 | 233.4 | 337.5 | 254.2 | 281.7 |
| <i>Solanum nigrum</i> | 145.9 | 208.4 | 250.0 | 212.5 | 387.5 | 240.9 |
| <i>Stellaria media</i> | 362.5 | 375.0 | 366.7 | 279.2 | 366.7 | 350.0 |
| <i>Vicia sativa</i> | 604.2 | 354.2 | 325.0 | 137.5 | 366.7 | 357.5 |
| Mean | 389.2 | 335.0 | 365.9 | 283.4 | 383.4 | |
| | Host(H) | | Site (S) | | Interaction (H x S) | |
| CD (0.05 %) | 61.5 | | 43.5 | | 137.5 | |

species, viz. *M. hispida* (0.346 %), *S. media* (0.365 %), *P. hysterophorus* (0.377 %), *V. sativa* (0.377 %) and *C. bursa-pastoris* (0.400 %), had similar phosphorus content in their leaves.

Different weeds supported different mycorrhizal colonization in their root systems. For example, the highest root colonization was observed in *R. indica* (79.6 %), while minimum root infection was found in roots of *S. nigrum* (56.1 %) (Table 3), irrespective of sampling sites. The root colonization was similar among all other weeds. A close look at mycorrhizal status within different sites revealed that weeds at Howard Road had the lowest root colonization (61.3 %), whereas weeds at Pearson Road I had the highest root colonization (70.0 %). No definite pattern of root infection emerged in terms of host × site interactions, although they were statistically significant. With respect to host site interaction, it is interesting to note that the highest root colonization was observed in the roots of *R. indica* at Pearson Road I (88.0 %), whereas *S. nigrum* at Howard Road had the lowest root colonization of 35.7 % (Table 3).

The highest mycorrhizal spore population in soil was noticed in *M. coromandelianum* (528.5/50 ml), which was similar to *A. conyzoides* (503.3) and was lowest for *S. nigrum* (240.9) (Table 4), irrespective of site. *P. hysterophorus* (335.9), *M. hispida* (351.6) and *V. sativa* (357.6) supported spore population second to *M. coromandelianum* and *A. conyzoides*. It is worth mentioning that *S. media*, which otherwise did not support mycorrhizal root colonization, had a spore population

similar in size to *Parthenium*, *Medicago* and *Vicia* in its root zone (350.0). Irrespective of weed species, different sites also supported a spectrum of spore populations sizes. For example, the maximum number of mycorrhizal spores was isolated from Howard Road (389.2), although it was statistically similar to the spore population at Trevor Road (383.4) and Pearson Road I (365.9). The lowest number of spores in soil was observed at Pearson Road II (283.4). Similar to root colonization, no defined pattern of spore populations with regards to host x site interactions could be discerned, although these were statistically significant. The soil at Howard Road had the maximum number of spores in the rhizosphere of *M. coromandelianum* (808.4), while the lowest value was observed from the roots of *V. sativa* (137.5) at Pearson Road II.

Discussion

All weeds, except *S. media*, were found to be mycorrhizal in the survey. Berch *et al.* (1988) also reported that two species of *Stellaria* - viz. *S. samooei* and *S. salycantha* - are non-mycorrhizal. This is further supported by the observation of Brundrett (2009) that the plants of the family Caryophyllaceae, to which *S. media* belongs, are non-mycorrhizal. However, *S. media* supported a good number of spores (350.0/50 ml of soil) in its root zone. Its very shallow roots, coupled with presence of many mycorrhizal weeds (*Medicago* sp.

and *Oxalis* sp.) in its vicinity, may contribute to the large spore count in the soil around the roots of *S. media*. In addition, host/non-host succession under field conditions may add left over resting structures like chlamydospores.

Contrary to the studies of MuthuKumar & Udaiyan (2000), mycorrhizal infections were observed in the root of *L. camara*. Mycorrhizal associations of many weeds - viz. *A. conyzoides*, *M. coromandelianum*, *P. hysterophorus* - were also reported earlier by Singh & Verma (1981), Barthakur *et al.* (1989) and Rathi & Singh (1990) in different ecosystems. We observed that *C. bursa-pastoris*, in the family Brassicaceae, is mycorrhizal, with arbuscules and extra-matrical hyphae, and no vesicular structure (Table 2). This is in contrast to the study of Brundrett (2009), who indicated that plants (herbs habitat) in the family Brassicaceae are non-mycorrhizal.

Soil pH may affect the development and functioning of arbuscular mycorrhizae (Hayman & Tavares 1985) by altering the concentration of nutrients and toxic ions in the soil solution, as well as hydrogen ion concentration. The response of AM fungi to soil pH may depend on the species and strains constituting the indigenous AM flora (Robson & Abbott 1989). Van Aarle *et al.* (2002) reported that soil pH of ~6 positively influences AM root colonization as well as the growth and phosphatase activities of extraradical mycelium of two mycorrhizal species (*Scutellospora calospora* and *Glomus intraradices*). In the present study the soil pH of 6.3 at Pearson Road I likely supported the higher root infection (70.0 %; Table 3) observed for all the weeds.

John (1980) re-examined the Baylis hypothesis about root characteristics and mycorrhizal condition of 89 tropical American plants of 23 orders. He found that trees of the order Asterales were heavily mycorrhizal, Malvales were moderate, and Linales were lightly to moderate. In the present study, we found that *R. indica*, in the family Linaceae (with fibrous roots) had 79.6 % mycorrhizal infection, *M. coromandelianum* (Malvales, with coarse roots) had 75.7 % infection, and *A. conyzoides* (Asterales, with coarse roots) had 72.7 % infection (Table 3). This trend is opposite of that described by John (1980), although the differences in root colonization were not statistically significant (Table 3) and moderate in roots of these hosts. It may be due to winter season in tropical north India that supports low microbial activity fading

the well defined categorization of root colonization proposed by John (1980).

A significant relationship emanated out of physical expression of symbiosis (in terms of root colonization and soil spore population) and root geometry, on the one hand and physiological expression (in terms of phosphorus content of leaves) on the other. Two weeds, viz. *M. coromandelianum* and *V. sativa*, stand out of the rest in relation to percent root colonization (75.7 and 77.0 %, respectively) and soil population of mycorrhizal spores in their rhizosphere (528.5 and 357.6 spores/50 ml of soil, respectively) (Tables 3 & 4). Interestingly, both have coarse root systems (Table 2), supporting the Baylis hypothesis (1975) that such plants are more dependent on symbiotic fungi and, in turn, more mycotrophic. This relationship is further supported by Hayman's (1982) theory that mycorrhizal dependence of a plant is primarily governed by its rate of growth and phosphorus required for growth of healthy foliage. The more mycotrophic *M. coromandelianum* and *V. sativa* seem to have a higher phosphorus content in their leaves (0.640 and 0.377 %, respectively) (Table 2).

Common weeds anchor the soil and serve as a source of organic matter that feeds the soil food-web. These fast-growing annuals also provide shade, hold moisture, and moderate soil temperatures that allow other plants, such as biennials and perennials (including grasses), to initiate growth. Weeds interact with crops and trees indirectly through their effects on soil microbial communities. One plant species may harbour particular soil microorganisms that either favour or hinder other plants to varying degrees. For example, the majority of crops and some weeds form symbiotic associations with mycorrhizal fungi that greatly benefit the plant by enhancing nutrient and moisture uptake, and protecting against soil-borne diseases. Jordan *et al.* (2000) suggested that mycorrhizae can change the functioning of weed communities so that the net effect of weeds becomes more beneficial to crops. This may happen if weeds promote the growth of mycorrhizae that later colonize the crop. This may explain why some crops grow better following some weed communities. In the present case, weeds seem to maintain the field populations of the endomycorrhiza during the off-season (winter). During the following spring and summer they may serve as reservoir of inoculum of the natural mycorrhizal communities for other plants, influencing companion crops and trees, as well as ecosystem health.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the Forest Research Institute, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India, for providing technical facilities and campus access to conduct the study. We appreciate the valuable input and suggestions from faculty of Kanya Gurukul Mahavidyalaya, Gurukul Kangri University, Haridwar, Uttarakhand, India.

References

- Abbott, L. & A. Robson. 1991. Factors influencing the occurrence of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizas. *Agriculture Ecosystem & Environment* **35**: 121-150.
- Barthakur, B. K., P. Dutta & R. Begum. 1989. Vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhiza (VAM) in some weed species of tea fields. *Two and a Bud* **36**: 8-9.
- Baylis, G. T. S. 1975. The magnolioid mycorrhiza and mycotrophy in root systems derived from it. pp.373-389. *In*: F. E. Sanders, B. Mosse & P. B. Tinker (eds.) *Endomycorrhizas*. Academic Press, New York, USA.
- Berch, S. M., S. Gamiet & E. Deom. 1988. Mycorrhizal status of some plants in south-western British Columbia. *Canadian Journal of Botany* **66**: 1924-1928.
- Bloss, H. E. 1979. Vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhiza in *Jajoba* and *Mariola*. *Mycologia* **71**: 831-834.
- Bray, R. H. & L. T. Kurtz. 1945. Determination of total, organic, and available forms of phosphorus in soils. *Soil Science* **59**: 39-45.
- Bremner, J. N. 1965. Total nitrogen methods of soil analysis. pp. 1149-1178. *In*: C. A. Black (ed.) *Agronomy Part 2*, **9**, American Society of Agronomy, Madison & Wisconsin.
- Brundrett, M. C. 2009. Mycorrhizal associations and other means of nutrition of vascular plants: understanding the global diversity of host plants by resolving conflicting information and developing reliable means of diagnosis. *Plant and Soil* **320**: 37-77.
- Daniel, B. A. & H. D. Skipper. 1982. Method for the recovery and quantitative estimation of the propagule from soil. pp. 26-29. *In*: N. C. Schenck (ed.) *Methods and Principles of Mycorrhizal Research*. American Phytopathological Society, St. Paul, Minnesota, USA.
- Gerdemann, J. W. & T. H. Nicolson. 1963. Spores of mycorrhizal endogone species extracted from soil by wet sieving and decanting. *Transactions of the British Mycological Society* **46**: 235-244.
- Giovannetti, M. & B. Mosse. 1980. An evaluation of technique for measuring arbuscular mycorrhizal infection in the roots. *New Phytologist* **84**: 489-500.
- Gupta, O. P. & P. S. Lamba. 1978. *Modern Weed Science in the Tropics and Subtropics*. Today and Tomorrows Printers and Publishers, New Delhi.
- Hayman, D. S. 1982. The physiology of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis. *Canadian Journal of Botany* **61**: 944-963.
- Hayman, D. S. & M. Tavares. 1985. Plant growth responses vesicular arbuscular mycorrhiza. XV. Influence of soil pH on the symbiotic efficiency of different endophytes. *New Phytology* **100**: 567-577.
- John, T. V. 1980. Root size, root hairs and mycorrhizal infection: A re-examination of Baylis's hypothesis with tropical trees. *New Phytology* **84**: 483-487.
- Jordan, N. R., J. Zhang & S. Huerd. 2000. Arbuscular-mycorrhizal fungi: potential roles in weed management. *Weed Research* **40**: 397-410.
- Muthukumar, T. & K. Udaiyan. 2000. Arbuscular mycorrhiza of plant growing in the western ghats region, Southern India. *Mycorrhizae* **9**: 297-313.
- Negi, P. S. & P. K. Hajra. 2007. Alien flora of doon valley, northwest Himalaya. *Current Science* **92**: 968-978.
- Olsen, S. R., C. V. Cole, F. S. Watanabe & L. A. Dean. 1954. Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. *U.S. Department of Agriculture Circle* 939.
- Phillips, J. M. & D. S. Hayman. 1970. Improved procedures for clearing roots and staining parasitic and vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi for rapid assessment of infection. *Transactions of the British Mycological Society* **55**: 158-161.
- Rathi, S. K. & L. Singh. 1990. Preliminary survey of mycorrhizal fungi in some weeds and cultivated plants in Meerut. pp.31-32. *In*: B. L. Jalali & H. Chand (eds.) *Current Trends in Mycorrhizal Research*. Proceeding of the National Conference on Mycorrhiza, Hisar, Haryana Agricultural University, India. February 14-16.
- Robson, A. D. & L. K. Abbott. 1989. The effect of soil acidity on microbial activity in soils. pp.139-165. *In*: A. D. Robson (ed.) *Soil Acidity and Plant Growth*. Sydney Academy Press.
- Saxena, K. G. 1991. Biological invasions in the Indian subcontinent: Review of invasion by plants. pp.21-34. *In*: P. S. Ramakrishnan (ed.) *Ecology of Biological Invasion in the Tropics*. International Scientific Publication, New Delhi.
- Schofield, R. K. & A. W. Taylor. 1955. The measurement of soil pH. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* **19**: 164-167.
- Singh, K. & A. K. Verma. 1981. Endogonaceous spores associated with xerophytic plants in northern India. *Transactions of the British Mycological Society* **77**: 655-658.
- Smith, S. E. & D. J. Read. 1997. *Mycorrhizal Symbiosis*.

- 2nd edn. Academic Press.
- Sreenivasan, M. A. 2003. *Natural Distribution and Control of the Alien Invasive Weed Mikania Micrantha in the Western Ghats*. Ph.D. Thesis. Kerala Forest Research Institute, Peechi, Kerala, India.
- Stejskalova, H. 1990. The role of mycorrhizal infection in weed-crop interactions. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* **29**: 415-419.
- Walkley, A. & I. A. Black. 1934. An examination of the Degtjareff method for determining soil organic matter, and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. *Soil Science* **34**: 29-38.
- Wittenberg, R. & M. J. W. Cock. 2001. Invasive alien species: A toolkit of best prevention and management practices. CABI Publication, Oxon, London.
- Van Aarle, M., P. A. Olsson & B. Söderström. 2002. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi respond to the substrate pH of their extraradical mycelium by altered growth and root colonization. *New Phytologist* **155**: 173-182.

(Received on 16.02.2010 and accepted after revisions, on 30.08.2010)