

## Gap analysis of neotropical dry forests in protected areas using geographical information systems and global datasets

MICHAEL P. OATHAM\* & NATALIE BOODRAM

*Department of Life Sciences, University of the West Indies, St. Augustine,  
Republic of Trinidad and Tobago*

**Abstract:** A gap analysis was carried out to assess the percentage of neotropical dry forests in protected areas. Antillean and northern South American dry forests were assessed as a subset to assess the conservation importance of Trinidad and Tobago dry forests. The conservation status of dry forests in the neotropics was found to be very poor with over 80% of dry forest ecoregions in the critical/endangered category, indicating they will not persist if current trends in clearing and degradation continue. The gap analysis indicated approximately 1.9 % of the potential dry forest ecoregions were in protected areas of IUCN categories I-III. A similar percentage of dry forest ecoregions was protected in the Lesser Antilles and northern South America, although some dry forest habitat is likely to be protected as part of other seasonal tropical ecoregions such as xeric shrublands.

**Resumen:** Se realizó un Análisis de Huecos ("Gap Analysis") para evaluar el porcentaje de bosques secos neotropicales ubicados en áreas protegidas. Los bosques secos de las Antillas y el norte de Sudamérica fueron evaluados como un subconjunto, con el fin de valorar la importancia de la conservación de los bosques secos de Trinidad y Tobago. El estatus de conservación de los bosques secos del Neotrópico resultó ser muy pobre, con más de 80% de las ecoregiones de bosque seco ubicadas en la categoría crítica/amenazada, indicando que éstas no persistirán si continúan las tendencias actuales de aclareo y degradación de los bosques. El Análisis de Huecos indicó que aproximadamente 1.9% de las regiones potenciales de bosque seco están en áreas protegidas de las categorías I-III de la IUCN. Un porcentaje similar de ecoregiones de bosque seco están protegidas en las Antillas Menores y el norte de Sudamérica, aunque es probable que algunos hábitats de bosque seco estén protegidos como parte de otras regiones tropicales estacionales, tales como las de los matorrales xerófilos.

**Resumo:** A análise de clareiras foi efetuada para avaliar a percentagem de florestas secas neotropicais em áreas protegidas. Florestas secas das Antilhas e da América do Sul foram avaliadas como um subconjunto para avaliar a importância da conservação das florestas secas na Trindade e Tobago. Encontrou-se que o estado de conservação das florestas secas neotropicais era muito pobre com cerca de 80% das ecoregiões de floresta seca na categoria de ameaçadas criticamente, indicando que elas não persistirão se a actual tendência de abate e degradação continuarem. A análise de clareiras indicou que aproximadamente 1,9% das ecoregiões de floresta seca se situavam em áreas protegidas nas categorias I-III da classificação do IUCN. Uma percentagem similar de ecoregiões de floresta seca encontra-se protegida nas Pequenas Antilhas e no norte da América do Sul embora algum habitat florestal seco esteja provavelmente protegido como parte de outras ecoregiões estacionais tropicais tal como nas arbustivas xerófilas.

**Key words:** Biogeography, conservation status, dry forest biome, ecoregions, Lesser Antilles, neotropics, protected area, Tobago, Trinidad, Venezuela.

---

\* Corresponding Author; e-mail: moatham@fsa.uwi.tt

## Introduction

In order to understand the conservation status of tropical dry forests, the extent and distribution of the ecosystem type needs to be understood. The potential or pre-Columbian distribution of tropical dry forests is a matter for some debate (Fajardo *et al.* 2005). Seasonal ecosystems such as savannas, deciduous and evergreen dry forest and xeric shrublands differ widely in structure and physiognomy and yet occupy the same or similar biophysical conditions (Gentry 1995; Sarmiento 1972). The TROPI-DRY network of tropical dry forest researchers has defined tropical forests as occurring in areas where mean annual temperature is  $\geq 25^{\circ}\text{C}$ , average annual precipitation is between 700 and 2000 mm, and there are at least 3 months of the year receiving less than 100 mm (Sánchez-Azofeifa *et al.* 2005). The reasons for the presence of dry forests, rather than another seasonal ecosystem, at a particular location is complex and case specific; the main drivers are edaphic factors, current land use practices, and historical stochastic events such as droughts and fires or clearing that create meta-stable vegetation communities (Kellman & Tackerberry 1997; Sarmiento 1972). Currently, efforts are underway to map the biophysical factors that favour tropical dry forests on a continental scale in an attempt to represent the potential or pre-Columbian distribution of tropical dry forests (Fajardo *et al.* 2005; Sánchez-Azofeifa *et al.* 2005). Until this is done we can use one of several datasets for dry forest ecosystems (or ecoregions) that have been compiled by expert groups for different countries. These have the disadvantage of not being standard across their range in terms of definition of seasonal vegetation type or in terms of scale of application and thus not verifiable in quantitative terms (Janzen 1988; Olson *et al.* 2001; Quesada & Stoner 2004). However, it is important to carry out this analysis even with such qualitatively derived datasets given the current rate of dry forest ecosystem loss and the need for figures that can be used by decision makers for conservation decisions (Fajardo *et al.* 2005; Sánchez-Azofeifa *et al.* 2005). Gap Analysis is an important conservation tool used to prioritize conservation efforts such as establishment of protected areas (Hunter *et al.* 2003; Jennings 2000). It has been

used for conservation units from species to biome scale (Hunter *et al.* 2003; Hoekstra *et al.* 2005). Hoekstra *et al.* (2005) assessed the status of biomes on a global scale using WWF ecoregions classification (Olsen *et al.* 2001), the 2004 World Database on Protected Areas (WDPA Consortium 2005) and Global Land Cover 2000 (GLC 2000) (European Commission Joint Research Centre, Institute for Environment and Sustainability (ECJRC 2002). They found that tropical dry forests were the third most critically endangered biome globally with 48.5 % of the biome cleared and only 7.6 % in protected areas. This study also used datasets derived from Olson *et al.* (2001) and WDPA Consortium (2005).

The specific objective of this study was to assess the floral conservation importance of the dry forests of Trinidad and Tobago at hemispherical and regional scales by conducting a Gap Analysis to determine the percentage of neotropical dry forests ecoregions in protected areas using the comprehensive World Wildlife Fund for Nature (WWF) ecoregion classification (Olson *et al.* 2001) and UNEP-WCMC & IUCN's World Database on Protected Areas (WDPA Consortium 2005).

## Methodology

The conservation status of neotropical dry forests and their protected area status was established by estimating the percentage in protected areas of the biome (Tropical Dry Broadleaf Forest as defined by Olson *et al.* 2001) along with two other biomes that were known to also contain Dry Forest elements at least in northern South America (Bonaccorso 2001; Fajardo *et al.* 2005; Huber 1997); Tropical Grasslands, Savannas and Shrublands; and Deserts and Xeric Shrublands. Tropical Moist Broadleaf Forests were also included in the analysis for comparison (Olson *et al.* 2001; World Wide Fund for Nature 2005). The protected area information was accessed from the 2005 World Protected Areas Database (World Database on Protected Areas Consortium 2005). Analysis of the two datasets was carried out in ArcView 3.2. The two datasets were first clipped to reduce their coverage to the neotropics (between  $23.3^{\circ}\text{N}$  and S Latitude and between  $30^{\circ}$  and  $120^{\circ}$  West

Longitude). The total area of Dry Broadleaf Forest, Tropical Grasslands, Savannas and Shrublands, Tropical Moist Broadleaf Forests (included for comparison) and Deserts and Xeric Shrublands Biomes were estimated using the resulting

ecoregion theme with the areas of the polygons converted to square kilometers using the X-Tools Extension for ArcView with a Lambert Equal Area azimuthal (equatorial) projection (DeLaune 2003). The area of the above biomes was also estimated

**Table 1.** Areas of Neotropical Biomes and their conservation status as defined by WWF (Olsen *et al.* 2001). Conservation status is based on a 30 year prediction of future conservation status given current conservation status and trajectories (GBL\_STAT field in the WWF Ecoregions GIS).

Neotropics										
Biome	Total Number of Ecoregions	Total Area of Biome (km <sup>2</sup> )	Percentage of Biome Critical/Endangered		Percentage of Biome Vulnerable		Percentage of Biome Relatively Stable/Intact		Percentage of Biome in Protected Areas	
			By Ecoregion #	By Area	By Ecoregion #	By Area	By Ecoregion #	By Area	IUCN Cat. I-VI	IUCN Cat. I-III
Tropical Moist Broadleaf Forests	78	8,638,483.7	44.9	23.6	33.3	39.8	21.8	36.5	10.1	5.8
Tropical Dry Broadleaf Forests	30	1,037,410.2	90.0	82.1	10.0	17.9	0.0	0.0	4.3	1.9
Tropical Grasslands, Savannas & Shrublands	8	2,888,235.9	25.0	4.4	62.5	94.7	12.5	0.9	4.4	3.9
Deserts & Xeric Shrublands	17	1,301,362.0	52.9	20.1	41.2	79.9	5.9	0.0	3.0	1.4
Northern South America and the Lesser Antilles*										
Tropical Moist Broadleaf Forests	30	1,337,588.8	26.7	20.3	36.7	38.9	36.7	40.8	26.8	13.3
Tropical Dry Broadleaf Forests	9	170,851.1	88.9	59.9	11.1	40.1	0.0	0.0	9.4	1.5
Tropical Grasslands, Savannas & Shrublands	2	390,358.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	10.4
Deserts & Xeric Shrublands	5	122,600.3	80.0	98.2	20.0	1.8	0.0	0.0	10.6	3.0

\* northern south America = Columbia, Trinidad & Tobago, Guyana, French Guiana, Suriname and Venezuela; Lesser Antilles = Anguilla, Antiga & Barbuda, Aruba, Barbados, Dominica, Grenada, Guadelope, Martinique, Montserrat, Netherlands Antilles, St. Kitts & Nevis, St. Lucia, and St. Vincent & the Grenadines.

for northern South America (Columbia, Venezuela the Guianas and Trinidad & Tobago) combined with the Lesser Antilles (Anguilla, Antigua & Barbuda, Aruba, Barbados, Dominica, Grenada, Guadelope, Martinique, Montserrat, Netherlands Antilles, St. Kitts & Nevis, St. Lucia, and St. Vincent & the Grenadines) as these areas had the closest floristic affinity to the Little Tobago Dry Forests (Oatham & Boodram in prep). To get an idea of the overall conservation status of each biome in the neotropics, the percentage of each biome assigned to one of three levels of conservation status (Critical/Endangered; Vulnerable; or Relatively Stable/Intact) as designated by Olson *et al.* (2001) was calculated in two ways: by the number of ecoregions and by area.

The neotropical ecoregions layer and the neotropical Protected Areas layer were intersected to determine the area of each of the biomes of interest in a protected area of IUCN category I-VI or I to III (protected areas established specifically to conserve biodiversity and that are managed with a minimum of human intervention) (IUCN 1994). Only protected areas represented by polygons were included in this analysis. Polygon areas were again converted to square kilometers using the X-Tools extension (DeLaune 2003).

## Results

The neotropical seasonal biomes considered in this study (Tropical Dry Forest, Tropical Grasslands, Savannas and Shrublands, and Deserts and Xeric Shrublands as defined by Olson *et al.* (2001)) covered an area of 5,227,008 km<sup>2</sup> of which dry forest covered 1,037,410 km<sup>2</sup>. These figures were the maximum potential extent of the biomes, but with clearing and degradation the area covered by intact ecosystems is far lower. No attempt was made to quantify this lower figure and instead the percentage of each biome classified into the three WWF conservation status categories (Olsen *et al.* 2001) was calculated by ecoregion number and by area (Table 1). The percentage of each biome in protected areas of IUCN categories I-VI and categories I-III was also calculated (Table 1). By area, the Tropical Dry Broadleaf Forest biome has 82% and 60% of ecoregions in the Critical/Endangered category in the neotropics and in northern South America

(Columbia, Venezuela and the Guianas) and the Lesser Antilles, respectively (Table 1). The Tropical Dry Forest biome has no ecoregions that are Relatively Stable/Intact in the neotropics. This compares very unfavorably with the other biomes analyzed (Table 1). The percentage of Tropical Dry Forest biome represented in protected areas with an IUCN classification of I-VI is 4.3 % in the neotropics, falling to 1.9 % in Category I-III protected areas. In northern South America and the Lesser Antilles, 9.4 % of the Tropical Dry Forest biome is in Category I-VI protected areas, falling to 1.5 % in the Category I-III protected areas (Table 1).

## Discussion

The analysis of conservation status of Tropical Dry Broadleaf Forest carried out in this study supports the statements of Sánchez-Azofeifa *et al.* (2005), Pennington *et al.* (2000), Dinerstein *et al.* (1995), Murphy & Lugo (1995), Janzen (1986), Maass (1995) & Beard (1949). The Tropical Dry Broadleaf Forest biome is one of the most threatened in the neotropics. If current trends continue over 80 % of Dry Forest ecoregions that are classified as Critical/Endangered today by Olson *et al.* (2001), will be lost in the neotropics and over 89 % in N. South America and the Lesser Antilles. Specifically, the Lesser Antillean Dry Forests Ecoregion is classified as Critical/Endangered by Olson *et al.* (2001). The very precarious state of the Tropical Dry Broadleaf biome is alleviated somewhat by the occurrence of Dry Forests in other seasonal biomes of the neotropics such as Deserts and Xeric Shrublands and Tropical Grasslands, Savannas and Shrublands. The Araya and Paria Xeric Shrub ecoregion on the Paria Peninsula opposite the Northern Range in Trinidad is a good example (see Fajardo *et al.* 2005). The wetter part of the Araya and Paria Xeric shrub ecoregion where it borders the Cordillera la Costa Montane Forests ecoregion as described by Huber (1997) and Bonaccorso (2001) are probably the Venezuelan deciduous forests as described by Beard (1944) (see also Fajardo *et al.* 2005). However, these biomes, particularly the Desert and Xeric Shrubland biome, are also conservationally challenged particularly in northern South America (Bonaccorso 2001; Fajardo *et al.* 2005) (Table 2).

**Table 2.** Protected areas in N. South America\* and the Lesser Antilles\* that contain an area of Tropical Dry Broadleaf Forest, Tropical Grasslands, Savanna and Shrublands, or Desert and Xeric Shrublands (Olson *et al.* 2001). Data from the 2005 World Database on Protected Areas (WDPA Consortium 2005) and Olson *et al.* (2001).

Biome	Country	Name of Protected Area	Designation of Protected Area	IUCN Category	Total Area of Protected Area (km <sup>2</sup> )**	Area of Biome in Protected Area (km <sup>2</sup> )
Tropical Dry Broadleaf Forest						
Antigua and Barbuda		Nelson's Dockyard	National Park	II	58.3	8.4
Colombia		Ciénaga Grande de Santa Marta	Fauna and Flora Sanctuary	Ia	270.9	47.0
		Cordillera de los Picachos	Natural National Park	II	2,750.5	447.6
		Isla de Salamanca	Natural National Park	II	589.9	5.2
		Sierra de la Macarena	Natural National Park	II	6,203.2	405.3
		Sierra Nevada de Santa Marta	Natural National Park	II	4,164.2	656.0
		Sumapaz	Natural National Park	II	2,037.5	15.3
Venezuela		Tinigua	Natural National Park	II	2,252.9	330.6
		Cerro Saroche	National Park	II	691.6	22.6
		Cueva de la Quebrada del Toro	National Park	II	71.9	71.9
		El Tamá	National Park	II	1,602.8	108.6
		Médanos de Coro	National Park	II	1,112.5	235.2
		Sierra de San Luis	National Park	II	203.8	203.8
Total of Biome in Protected Areas:						2,557.4
Tropical Grasslands, Savannas and Shrublands						
Colombia		El Tuparro	Natural National Park	II	5,519.1	5,519.1
Venezuela		Aguaro-Guariquito	National Park	II	5,861.0	5,861.0
		Canaima	National Park	II	30,453.8	11,7337
		Cinaruco-Capanaparo	National Park	II	6,719.6	6,719.6
		Formaciones de Tepuyes	Natural Monument	III	51,237.0	12,3723
		Yacambú	National Park	II	173.7	10.5
Total of Biome in Protected Areas:						42,2162
Deserts and Xeric Shrublands						
Antigua and Barbuda		Nelson's Dockyard	National Park	II	58.3	9.2
Colombia		Isla de Salamanca	Natural National Park	II	589.9	0.3
		Los Flamencos	Fauna and Flora Sanctuary	Ia	79.0	78.0
		Macuira	Natural National Park	II	243.2	243.2
		Sierra Nevada de Santa Marta	Natural National Park	II	4,164.2	21.3
		Tayrona	Natural National Park	II	212.2	41.1
Netherlands Antilles		Christoffel (Curacao)	National Park	II	23.2	16.2
		Saba	Marine Park	II	5.3	1.3
Venezuela		Cerro El Copey	National Park	II	32.8	32.8
		Cerro Platillón	Natural Monument	III	64.9	64.9
		Cerro Santa Ana	Natural Monument	III	34.1	34.1
		Cerro Saroche	National Park	II	691.6	669.0
		Cerros Matasiete y Guayamurí	Natural Monument	III	12.8	12.7
		Dinira	National Park	II	290.1	23.7
		El Avila	National Park	II	705.3	87.0
	El Guácharo	National Park	II	535.3	111.8	

Continued.

**Table 2.** Continued.

Guatopo	National Park	II	988.9	352.5
Henri Pittier	National Park	II	880.4	287.2
Laguna de la Restinga	National Park	II	30.9	27.1
Laguna de las Marites	Natural Monument	III	20.0	0.3
Laguna de Tacarigua	National Park	II	313.2	84.7
Loma de León	Natural Monument	III	28.5	15.6
María Lionza	Natural Monument	III	270.4	270.4
Mochima	National Park	II	832.1	287.7
Morros de San Juan (Aristides Rojas)	Natural Monument	III	35.3	35.3
Médanos de Coro	National Park	II	1,112.5	346.1
Península de Paria	National Park	II	588.0	145.3
San Esteban	National Park	II	318.6	177.1
Terepaima	National Park	II	214.9	59.6
Turuépano	National Park	II	677.2	49.3
Yurubí	National Park	II	382.0	137.3
Total of Biome in Protected Areas:			3,722.2	

\* northern South America = Columbia, Trinidad & Tobago and Venezuela; Lesser Antilles = Anguilla, Antigua & Barbuda, Aruba, Barbados, Dominica, Grenada, Guadelope, Martinique, Montserrat, Netherlands Antilles, St. Kitts & Nevis, St. Lucia, and St. Vincent & the Grenadines.

\*\* Area on GIS of the 2005 World Database on Protected Areas (WDPA Consortium 2005)

GAP Analysis shows the percentage of the Tropical Dry Broadleaf Forest biome in protected areas is very low in the neotropics, particularly in the IUCN Categories I-III. The areas protected are far less than the minimum of 10 % of each biome protected adopted as a conservation goal of The Fourth World Congress on National Parks and Protected Areas held in Caracas, Venezuela in 1992 (Brooks *et al.* 2004). Protected areas that include dry forest ecoregions in northern South America and the Lesser Antilles to which the dry forests of Trinidad and Tobago have the closest floristic affinity (including the Xeric Shrublands/Montane Forest transition on the Paria Penninsula) are generally small and isolated and are a small proportion of the potential dry forest ecoregions (Table 2). Outside of these protected areas, the Dry Forest is either degraded or converted to other uses (Armstrong 2001a,b,c; Bonaccorso 2001; Farjado *et al.* 2005; Huber 1997; Locklin 2001). This is the case in Venezuela, NW Trinidad, SW Tobago and the Lesser Antilles (Farjoado *et al.* 2005; Olson *et al.* 2001). There appear to be no protected areas for dry forests in the Lesser Antilles whose countries have tended to focus conservation efforts on the interior montane forests. The results of this analysis echo that of

Hoekstra *et al.* (2005). It appears that the conservation state of tropical dry forest in the neotropics and in northern South America and the Lesser Antilles is worse than the average global state for tropical dry forest (Hoekstra *et al.* 2005). This analysis clearly signals the need for far more attention to be paid to the conservation of tropical dry forest in northern South America and the Lesser Antilles and in the neotropics in general. In this context, Little Tobago Island and other dry forests in the Trinidad and Tobago such as the Islands of the Bocas and the Southern Watershed Wildlife Sanctuary, are of very high conservation importance and should be prioritized in national or regional conservation planning.

## References

- Armstrong, S. 2001a. Trinidad and Tobago dry forests (NT0231). In World Wildlife Fund. Terrestrial Ecoregion Profiles. [http://www.worldwildlife.org/world/profiles/terrestrial\\_nt.html](http://www.worldwildlife.org/world/profiles/terrestrial_nt.html) Cited 24th October 2004.
- Armstrong, S. 2001b. Leeward Island dry forests (NT0220). In World Wildlife Fund. Terrestrial Ecoregion Profiles. [http://www.worldwildlife.org/world/profiles/terrestrial\\_nt.html](http://www.worldwildlife.org/world/profiles/terrestrial_nt.html) Cited 24th October 2004.

- Armstrong, S. 2001c. Windward Island dry forests (NT0234). In World Wildlife Fund. Terrestrial Ecoregion Profiles. [http://www.worldwildlife.org/wildworld/profiles/terrestrial\\_nt.html](http://www.worldwildlife.org/wildworld/profiles/terrestrial_nt.html) Cited 24th October 2004.
- Beard, J.S. 1944. The natural vegetation of the island of Tobago, British West Indies. *Ecological Monographs* 14:135-163.
- Beard, J.S. 1949. The natural vegetation of the Windward and Leeward Islands. *Oxford Forestry Memoirs* 21.
- Bonaccorso, E. 2001. Araya and Paria xeric scrub (NT1301). In World Wildlife Fund. Terrestrial Ecoregion Profiles. [http://www.worldwildlife.org/wildworld/profiles/terrestrial\\_nt.html](http://www.worldwildlife.org/wildworld/profiles/terrestrial_nt.html) Cited 24th October 2004.
- Brooks, T.M., M.I. Bakarr, T.Boucher, G.A.B. da Fonseca, C. Hilton-Taylor, J.M. Hoekstra, T. Moritz, S. Olivieri, J. Parrish, R.L. Pressey, A.S.L. Rodrigues, W. Sechrest, A. Stattersfield, W. Strahm & S.N. Stuart. 2004. Coverage provided by the global protected-area system: Is it enough? *BioScience* 54: 1081-1091
- DeLaune, M. 2003. XTools for ArcView 3.X. <http://arcscrips.esri.com/details.asp?dbid=11526> Cited 24th October 2005.
- Dinerstein, E., D. M. Olson, D. J. Graham, A. L. Webster, S. A. Primm, M. P. Bookbinder & G. Ledec. 1995. *A Conservation Assessment of the Terrestrial Ecoregions of Latin America and the Caribbean*. World Bank, 1995 Washington.
- European Commission Joint Research Centre, Institute for Environment and Sustainability (ECJRC). 2002. GLC 2000: Global Land Cover Mapping for the Year 2000. <http://http://www-gvm.jrc.it/glc-2000/> Cited 13th November 2006.
- Fajardo, L., V.González, J.M. Nassar, P. Lacabana, Q.C.A.Portillo, F. Carrasquel & J.P. Rodríguez. 2005. Tropical Dry Forests of Venezuela: Characterization and Current Conservation Status. *Biotropica* 37:531.
- Gentry, A.H. 1995. Diversity and floristic composition of neotropical dry forests. pp. 146-194. In: S. H. Bullock, H. A. Mooney & E. Medina (eds.) *Seasonally Dry Tropical Forests*. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Hoekstra, J.M., T.M. Boucher, T.H. Ricketts & C. Roberts. 2005. Confronting a Biome Crisis: global disparities of habitat loss and protection. *Ecology Letters* 8:23-29
- Huber, O. 1997. Coastal Cordillera, Venezuela. pp. 308-311. In: S.L.D. Davis, V.H. Heywood, O. Herrera-MacBryde, J. Villa-Lobos & A.C. Hamilton (eds.) *Centres of Plant Diversity: A Guide and Strategy for their Conservation*. Vol. 3. The Americas. World Wildlife Fund (WWF) and The World Conservation Union - IUCN. IUCN Publications Unit, Cambridge.
- Hunter, L.M., J. Beal & T. Dickinson. 2003. Integrating demographic and GAP analysis biodiversity data: useful insight? *Human Dimensions of Wildlife* 8:145-157.
- IUCN (The World Conservation Union). 1994. Guidelines for Protected Area Management Categories. Gland (Switzerland): IUCN Commission on National Parks and Protected Areas.
- Janzen, D. H. 1986. The eternal external threat. pp. 286-303. In: M. E. Soulé (ed.) *Conservation Biology - the Science of Scarcity and Diversity*. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts.
- Janzen, D. H. 1988. Tropical dry forests, the most endangered major tropical ecosystem. pp. 130-144. In: E. O. Wilson (ed.) *Biodiversity*. National Academy Press, DC. Washington,
- Jennings, M. D. 2000. Gap analysis: concepts, methods, and recent results. *Landscape Ecology* 15: 5-20.
- Kellman, M. & R. Tackaberry. 1997. *Tropical Environments. The Functioning and Management of Tropical Ecosystems*. Routledge, London.
- Locklin, C. 2001. Lara-Falcón dry forests (NT0219). In World Wildlife Fund. Terrestrial Ecoregion Profiles. [http://www.worldwildlife.org/wildworld/profiles/terrestrial\\_nt.html](http://www.worldwildlife.org/wildworld/profiles/terrestrial_nt.html) Cited 24th October 2004.
- Maass, J.M. 1995. Conservation of tropical dry forest to pasture and agriculture. pp. 399-422. In: S. H. Bullock, H. A. Mooney & E. Medina (eds.) *Seasonally Dry Tropical Forests*. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Murphy, P.G. & A.E. Lugo. 1995. Dry forests of Central America and the Caribbean. pp. 9-34. In: S.H. Bullock, H.A. Mooney & E. Medina (eds.) *Seasonally Dry Tropical Forests*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Olson, D.M., E. Dinerstein, E.D. Wikramanayake, N.D. Burgess, G.V. N.Powell, E.C. Underwood, J.A. D'Amico, I. Itoua, H.E. Strand, J.C. Morrison, C.J. Loucks, T.F. Allnutt, T.H. Ricketts, Y.Kura, J.F. Lamoreaux, I W.W. Wettenge, P. Hedao & K.R. Kassem. 2001. Terrestrial ecoregions of the world: A new map of life on earth. *BioScience* 51:933-938.
- Pennington, T.R., D.E. Prado & C.A. Pendry. 2000. Neotropical seasonally dry forests and Quaternary vegetation changes. *Journal of Biogeography* 27:261-273.
- Quesada, M. & K.E. Stoner. 2004. Threats to the conservation of tropical dry forest in Costa Rica. pp. 266-280 In: G.W. Frankie, A. Mata, & S.B. Vinson (eds.) *Biodiversity Conservation in Costa Rica: Learning the Lessons in a Seasonal Dry Forest*. University of California Press, Berkley, California
- Sánchez-Azoerifa, G.A., M. Quesada, J.P. Rodríguez, J.M. Nassar, K.E., Stoner, A. Castillo, T. Garvin E.L. Zent, J.C. Calvo-Alvarado, M.E.R. Kalacska, L. Fajardo, J.A. Gamon & P. Cuevas-Reyes. 2005. Research priorities for neotropical dry forests. *Biotropica* 37:477.

- Sarmiento, G. 1972. Ecological and floristic convergences between seasonal plant formations of Tropical and Subtropical South America. *Journal of Ecology* **60**:367-410.
- World Database on Protected Areas Consortium. 2005. World Database on Protected Areas. <http://sea.unep-wcmc.org/wdbpa/> Cited 24th October 2005.
- World Wide Fund for Nature. 2005. World Ecoregion Database. <http://www.worldwildlife.org/science/data/terreco.cfm>. Cited 24th October 2005.